## PAYING THE BILL

Mr. HOLLINGS. Somehow, Mr. President, we have to get a grip on ourselves. We ended, at just the end of September, September 30—October 1 was the beginning of the fiscal year with a deficit of \$132 billion. No doubletalk about on budget, off budget, or public debt and private debt, and all of that. We spent \$132 billion more than we took in. We have been in a deficit position most of the year, when everyone was talking surpluses.

In August we had a briefing from the Congressional Budget Office to the effect that we were going to have a deficit of \$104 billion for fiscal year 2002. And he updated that, some 10 days ago, and said: Rather than \$104 billion, I am going to have to add about \$120 billion to \$140 billion. So we are looking at a deficit of at least \$224 billion or \$244 billion, for starters. That is without the \$40 billion we passed in one stimulus measure; \$15 billion for the airline measure; so \$55 billion there.

There is on course—and everybody is agreed to-an amount, in general terms, on defense, in education, and emergency supplementals, and so forth, agriculture, of around \$25 billion. And now they are talking about \$75 billion; and that has been restudied, and rather than the President's \$75 billion, it comes out to around \$114 billion. So while we are talking about stimulus, we are going into an election next November with a deficit in excess of \$300 billion, at least.

I am for paying the bill. I cannot get any support for a value-added tax. But when we started other wars we put in a special tax. I was reminded, of course, that when President Nixon came into office, he put in a 10-percent surcharge on imports. And the distinguished majority leader, Mike Mansfield, took my dear wife Peatsy and myself on a honevmoon to about nine countries in Europe to consult and console the heads of state on why this was necessary. So we went to Finland, Denmark, Norway, Sweden, France, England, Germany, Austria, Italy, Spain, Portugal, Morocco and we explained that.

We put on, in World War II, a tax. But we are going in two different dangerous directions. The right direction, of course, is to pursue the war; along with that pursuit, a coalition at the homefront of discipline, restraint, and sacrifice. When you go to war, you can't ask people to lay their lives on the line and then everybody else go to Disney World. We better sober up on our talk and particularly with respect to tax cuts. Further tax cuts is not going to stimulate but enhance the rich. So they are all getting together in a fine cabal about we are going to spend so much more and we are going to stimulate so much more with tax cuts. But they will have a motion to forgo and cancel out those tax increases in the outyears that they want them on notice.

#### HONORING U.S. CAPITOL POLICE

Mr. WELLSTONE. Mr. President, I want to read this resolution to make sure it is now a formal part of the RECORD. It was adopted last night. I submitted this resolution on behalf of all Senators, but let's make sure it is a formal part of the RECORD:

Whereas the Capitol is an important symbol of freedom and democracy across the United States and throughout the world, and those who safeguard the Capitol safeguard that freedom and democracy;

Whereas millions of people visit the Capitol each year to observe and learn the workings of the democratic process;

Whereas the United States Capitol Police force was created by Congress in 1828 to provide security for the United States Capitol building;

Whereas, today the United States Capitol Police provide protection and support services throughout an array of congressional buildings, parks, and thoroughfares;

Whereas the United States Capitol police provide security for Members of Congress, their staffs, other government employees. and many others who live near, work on, and visit Capitol Hill:

Whereas the United States Capitol Police have successfully managed and coordinated major demonstrations, joint sessions of Congress, State of the Union Addresses. State funerals, and inaugurations:

Whereas the United States Capitol Police have bravely faced numerous emergencies. including three bombings and two shootings (the most recent of which in 1998 tragically took the lives of Private First Class Jacob 'J.J.' Chestnut and Detective John Michael Gibson);

Whereas the horrific events of September 11, 2001 have created a uniquely difficult environment, requiring heightened security, and prompting extra alertness and some strain among staff and visitors;

Whereas the U.S. Capitol Police force has responded to this challenge quickly and courageously, including by facilitating the evacuation of all of the buildings under their purview, as well as the perimeter thereof;

Whereas the United States Capitol Police Department has since instituted 12-hour, 6day shifts, requiring that officers work 30 hours of overtime each week to ensure our continued protection;

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate, That-

(1) the Senate hereby honors and thanks the United States Capitol Police for their outstanding work and dedication, during a period of heightened security needs on the day of September 11, 2001 and thereafter:

(2) when the Senate adjourns on this date they shall do so knowing that they are protected and secure, thanks to the commitment of the United States Capitol Police.

I wanted that to be printed in the RECORD so we can get that to the officers who have provided us with this help. We owe a great debt to them.

# EXECUTIVE BRANCH FUNCTIONING

Mr. BYRD. I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD a letter addressed to the Senate from the Vice

to move fast forward. I want to put President, together with two appendices, on the subject of the interaction of the Vice President's staff with the General Accounting Office.

> There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

> > THE VICE PRESIDENT. Washington, August 2, 2001.

To the Senate:

I am writing to inform you of certain actions undertaken by an agent of the Congress, Comptroller General David M. Walker. which exceed his lawful authority and which. if given effect, would unconstitutionally interfere with the functioning of the Executive Branch.

By memorandum of January 29, 2001, the President established the National Energy Policy Development Group ("Group"). The Group consists of six executive department heads (Treasury, Interior, Agriculture, Commerce. Transportation and Energy), two agency heads (Federal Emergency Management Agency and Environmental Protection Agency), three officers of the White House staff (Policy, Economic Policy, Intergovernmental), and the Vice President, The memorandum specified that the Group's "functions shall be to gather information, deliberate, and as specified in this memorandum, make recommendations to the President." It called for the Group to submit to the President a near-term assessment and then a report setting forth "a recommended national energy policy to help the private sector, and as necessary and appropriate State and local governments, promote dependable, affordable, and environmentally sound production and distribution of energy for the future.' The Group issued its report on May 16, 2001. The President approved the report's recommendations, now commonly called the National Energy Policy.

The Comptroller General proposed to investigate the workings of the Group and sought certain information from the Vice President's staff. The first appendix to this Message is a chronology of the interaction between the Comptroller General and my staff on this matter. As a matter of comity, my staff furnished substantial information regarding the Group, providing written answers dated May 4, 2001 to questions concerning the Group, a copy of the Presidential Memorandum establishing the Group, and documents responsive to the Comptroller General's inquiry concerning costs associated with the Group's work. In response to separate requests from the General Accounting Office, executive agencies also have provided substantial responses concerning the roles of their agency heads on the Group.

On July 18, 2001, the Comptroller General sent to me a letter which stated that he was reviewing "the process by which the National Energy Policy was developed" and that the purpose of the letter was to "demand" certain documents. With regard to documents not already provided that the Comptroller General has demanded, statutory and constitutional reasons for not providing them are set forth in the second appendix to this Message. I am furnishing a copy of this Message, including its appendices, to the Comptroller General so that the copy will serve as the response to his letter of July 18, 2001 that he would receive under Section 716(b)(1) of Title 31 of the U.S. Code if that provision were applicable in this mat-

RICHARD B. CHENEY.

APPENDIX 1: CHRONOLOGY OF INTERACTION OF THE VICE PRESIDENT'S STAFF WITH THE GEN-ERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

On April 19, 2001, Representatives John Dingell (D-MI) and Henry Waxman (D-CA) sent a letter to the Executive Director of the National Energy Policy Development Group ("Group"), asking a lengthy series of questions and asking for all records of the Group relating to its meetings. That same day, they asked the General Accounting Office (GAO) to initiate an investigation.

On May 4, 2001, the Vice President's counsel forwarded to Messrs. Dingell and Waxman answers from the Executive Director of the Group to their questions.

On May 8, 2001, a GAO Assistant Director faxed to the Office of the Vice President a request to interview Group officials and staff and for production of records and information.

On May 15, 2001, Representatives Dingell and Waxman sent another letter to the Executive Director of the Group, expressing dissatisfaction with the answers to their questions previously received and requesting more information and records, including all of the following relating to the Group:

". . . correspondence, memoranda, records, summaries of personal conversations or interviews, minutes or records of meetings or conferences, opinions or reports of consultants, projections, statistical statements, drafts, contracts, agreements, purchase orders, invoices, confirmations, telegraphs, telexes, agendas, books, notes, pamphlets, periodicals, reports, studies, evaluations, opinions, logs, diaries, desk calendars, appointment books, tape recordings, video recordings, e-mails, voice mails, computer tapes, or other computer stored mater, magnetic tapes, microfilm, microfiche, punch cards, all other records kept by electronic, photographic, or mechanical means, charts, photographs, notebooks, drawings, plans, inter-office communications, intra-office and intra-departmental communications, transcripts, checks and canceled checks, bank statements, ledgers, books, records of statements of accounts, and papers and things similar to any of the foregoing, however denominated.

On May 16, 2001, the Vice President's counsel wrote to the GAO General Counsel, asking the Comptroller General to determine whether the proposed GAO inquiry was appropriate, in compliance with the law, and, especially in light of information already provided, a productive use of resources, and asking the GAO General Counsel for a statement of GAO's legal authority to conduct its proposed inquiry.

On May 22, 2001, Representatives Dingell and Waxman wrote to the Vice President's counsel stating that they were "astounded" that the GAO's authority had been questioned.

On May 25, 2001, the Vice President's counsel wrote to counsel for Messrs. Dingell and Waxman, reporting on the status of correspondence with GAO in the matter.

On June 1, 2001, the GAO General Counsel wrote to the Vice President's counsel, advising that the Comptroller General wished to go forward with the inquiry and citing as authority for the inquiry Section 712, 716, and 717 of Title 31 of the U.S. Code. The letter said that GAO would "initially" like to focus on:]

"1. Previously, you identified 9 meetings conducted by the NEPDG and indicated that each meeting was held in the White House Complex. For each meeting, we want to learn the name of each attendee, title, and office

represented, as well as the duration of the meeting.

"2. Previously, you stated that 6 professional staff, referred to as the Group support staff, were assigned to the Office of the Vice President for the purpose of supporting the NEPDG. We want to learn their name, title, office or employer represented; the date on which that person began working for that office; and their responsibilities.

"3. Previously, you indicated that various members of the Group support staff met with many individuals to gather information relevant to the NEPDG work. For each interview or meeting, want to establish (a) its date and location, (b) the persons met with, including their name, title, and office or clients represented, (c) its purpose and agenda, (d) the information presented, (e) whether minutes or notes were kept, and (f) how members of the NEPDG or Group support staff determined who would be invited to the interviews of meetings.

"4. We are interested in learning whether the Vice President met with individuals to gather information relevant to the NEPDG and, if so, we want to obtain the same information listed in question 3 above.

"5. We are interested in obtaining the direct and indirect costs incurred by both the Vice President and the Group support staff.

"After discussing these questions with you, we would also like to arrange meetings with members of the Group support staff to discuss meetings they conducted and the process they used to develop information in support of the task force."

On June 7, 2001, the Vice President's counsel wrote to the GAO General Counsel, advising that Sections 717 (which allows GAO to investigate agency implementation of statutes, but no performance of constitutional duties) and 716 of Title 31 of the U.S. Code (which provides information collection procedures for otherwise-authorized investigations) provide no basis for the GAO inquiry, and that the limited authority of Section 712 (authorizing investigation of use of public money) would provide support for only one of the questions asked, relating to costs. The letter therefore stated that the Office of the Vice President would search for documents responsive to the GAO question regarding the direct and indirect costs of the Group.

On June 21, 2001, the Vice President's counsel sent a letter to GAO forwarding 77 pages of documents responsive to the GAO question regarding the direct and indirect costs of the Group.

On June 22, 2001, GAO sent to the Vice President's counsel a letter claiming to have broad authority to investigate under Sections 712 and 717 of Title 31 and indicating that GAO may issue a "demand letter" under Section 716 of Title 31 that could lead to litigation.

On July 9, 2001, in response to the request of Executive Branch lawyers for an opportunity to meet with the GAO General Counsel to see if a proper accommodation were possible, the meeting occurred, but no proper accommodation was reached.

On July 18, 2001, the Comptroller General issued a letter to the Vice President of the United States demanding documents as follows:

"1. Your counsel identified nine meetings conducted by the National Energy Policy Development Group (NEPDG) in his May 4, 2001, letter to the Chairmen and Ranking Minority Members of the House Committee on Energy and Commerce and the House Committee on Government Reform (hereinafter May 4 letter). We request records providing

the names of the attendees for each meeting, their titles, and the office represented.

"2. In the May 4 letter, your counsel indicated that six professional staff, referred to as the group support staff, were assigned to the Office of the Vice President to provide support to the NEPDG. We request records providing their names, titles, the office each individual represented, the date on which each individual began working for such office, and the responsibilities of the group support staff.

"3. In the May 4 letter, your counsel indicated that various members of the group support staff met with many individuals to gather information relevant to the NEPDG work. We request records providing the following information with regard to each of these meetings: (a) the date and location, (b) any person present, including his or her name, title, and office or clients represented, (c) the purpose and agenda, (d) any information presented, (e) minutes or notes, and (f) how members of the NEPDG, group support staff, or others determined who would be invited to the meetings.

"4. We request records providing the following information with regard to any meetings the Vice President as chair of the NEPDG had with individuals to gather information relevant to the NEPDG. (a) the date and location, (b) any person present, including his or her name, title, and office or clients represented, (c) the purpose and agenda, (d) any information presented, (e) minutes or notes, and (f) how the Vice President or others determined who would be invited to the meetings.

"5. We request any records containing information about the direct and indirect costs incurred in the development of the National Energy Policy. To date, we have been given 77 pages of miscellaneous records purporting to relate to these direct and indirect costs. Because the relevance of many of these records is unclear, we continue to request all records responsive to our request, including any records that clarify the nature and purpose of these costs."

The GAO has also made separate requests for information relating to the Group to various executive departments and agencies and has received responses.

On July 31, 2001, the Comptroller General and the Counsel to the Vice President spoke by telephone regarding the Comptroller General's letter of July 187, 2001 to the Vice President.

On August 1, 2001, the General Counsel of the General Accounting Office and the Counsel to the Vice President spoke by telephone regarding the Comptroller General's letter of July 18, 2001 to the Vice President.

### APPENDIX TWO: REASONS

With regard to documents not already provided that the Comptroller General has demanded from the Vice President, the reasons for not providing them are as set forth in this appendix. The statutes under which the Comptroller General purports to act, Sections 717, 712, and 716 of Title 31 of the U.S. Code, do not grant the authority he purports to exercise. Moreover, if his misconstruction of the statutes were to prevail, his conduct would unconstitutionally interfere with the functioning of the Executive Branch of our Government.

Section 717 permits the Comptroller General at the request of a House of Congress, a congressional committee of jurisdiction, or on his own initiative to "evaluate the results of a program or activity the Government

carries out under existing law." The Comptroller General lacks authority under Section 717 to investigate the President's exercise of his constitutional powers. The National Energy Policy Development Group and its work constitute such an exercise. The Vice President and the other officers of the United States who serve on the Group act not pursuant to statute but instead only in relation to exercise of the President's constitutional authorities, including his authority to "require the Opinion, in writing, of the principal Officer in each of the executive Departments, upon any Subject relating to the Duties of their respective Offices," to "take care that the Laws be faithfully executed," and, with respect to Congress, to "recommend to their Consideration such Measures as he shall judge necessary and expedient." Further, the Comptroller General is not evaluating the "results" of the Group's work; he is attempting to inquire into the process by which the results of the Group's work were reached. Finally, the Comptroller General has not claimed that he is conducting the proposed investigation on his own initiative, and has instead stated that he is conducting it at the request of two Congressional committees, yet no Committee (as distinguished from two individual Members of Congress who serve as the ranking minority members of two committees) has made such a request to the Comptroller General.

Section 712, which permits the Comptroller General to investigate matters related to the "receipt, disbursement, and use of public money," applies if at all only to his question concerning the costs of the Group's work. Documents that pertain to the costs of the Group already have been produced to the Comptroller General as a matter of comity. The narrow authority conferred by Section 712 does not provide a basis for his other questions.

Section 716 allows the Comptroller General to seek to compel production of documents only when he has the requisite need for the documents for a lawful inquiry conducted in accordance with Section 712 or 717. Because Sections 712 and 717 do not provide a basis for the Comptroller General's inquiries, and because Section 716 is not an independent source of authority to investigate, Section 716 provides no authority to demand or compel production of the Vice Presidential documents demanded. Moreover, the term "agency" as used in Section 716 does not include the Vice President of the United States, who is a constitutional officer of the Government.

If the Comptroller General's misconstruction of the statutes cited above were to prevail, his conduct would unconstitutionally interfere with the functioning of the Executive Branch. For example, due regard for the constitutional separation of powers requires respecting the independence of the President, the Vice President and the President's other senior advisers as they execute the function of developing recommendations for policy and legislation-a core constitutional function of the Executive Branch, Also, preservation of the ability of the Executive Branch to function effectively requires respecting the confidentiality of communications among a President, a Vice President, the President's other senior advisers and others. A President and his senior advisers must be able to work in an atmosphere that respects confidentiality of communications if the President is to get the good, candid advice and other information upon which wise decisionmaking depends. Note that while the Vice President is the President of the Senate, he also has executive duties and responsibilities in support of the President, as the Congress has by law recognized.

# IN CELEBRATION OF HISPANIC HERITAGE MONTH

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, as we celebrate Hispanic Heritage Month in America, I believe it is utmost in our minds and hearts to remember the horrendous attack on our nation's financial center in New York City, and on the Pentagon, on September 11, 2001. Hispanic Americans I speak with are anxious to support our nation's every effort to rid this world of the incredible evil that carried out such an attack.

Hispanic Americans have answered our country's call to arms in every previous war, and they have distinguished themselves as some of our nation's most heroic fighters. As President George W. Bush recently reminded us, "Hispanic Americans served with heroism in every major American military conflict."

Many of my colleagues might not be aware of the fact that Hispanics in World War II were over-represented among Medal of Honor winners. I would like to remember two of these distinguished medal winners from New Mexico.

Joseph P. Martinez, born in Taos, New Mexico, gave his life for our country during World War II. In the Aleutians, finding himself in snow covered trenches, he chose to advance against the enemy in the face of severe hostile machine gun, rifle, and mortar fire. His example inspired others to advance in this difficult and dangerous climb.

After successfully and personally silencing several enemy trenches, he reached the rim where he was fatally wounded. The U.S. Army recognized Joe Martinez's valor beyond the call of duty by awarding him the United States Medal of Honor.

In Vietnam, 22-year old U.S. Army Specialist Fourth Class Daniel Fernandez of Albuquerque, New Mexico, sacrificed himself to save four of his comrades. Fernandez vaulted over his wounded sergeant and threw himself on a grenade that was not noticed in time for the men around him to save themselves. This action cost him his life. Fernandez also received the United States Medal of Honor.

There are many more stories about Hispanic Medal of Honor winners. Our nation is proud to have men and women like these in our ranks.

This month, I want Americans to remember Hispanic veterans from World War I, World War II, the Korean War, Vietnam and Desert Storm. I can predict with great confidence that Hispanics in every service will earn more Medals of Honor, Distinguished Service Crosses, and Silver and Bronze Stars for valor in combat.

If these wartime contributions by Hispanics have been and will continue to be remarkable, those made on the homefront through lives invested in communities are equally deserving of our recognition and gratitude. On August 15, President George W. Bush visited Albuquerque for the grand opening of the Hispano Chamber of Commerce's Barelas Job Opportunity Center, a facility meant to help tear down barriers faced by Hispanics and others in finding employment or starting a new business.

Helping open this business development center, the President drew attention to the spirit of the facility, that of citizens asking what they could do to improve their community, and what they could do to help a neighbor in need. The President accurately and eloquently concluded that this was "the spirit of America, captured right here in Albuquerque, New Mexico."

I believe our President has it right. I am proud that the lives of Hispanic New Mexicans are vital evidence of the spirit of America as they invest themselves in families, schools, businesses, and churches. And New Mexicans recognize that these modern achievements build on a centuries-long legacy of Hispanic history in our state, earning us a peerless role in our nation's diversity.

In New Mexico, we know that Hispanics were on the scene even before the Mayflower set sail. The Hispanic influence in New Mexico shaping our architecture and culture has been significant since the arrival of Spanish explorer Don Juan de Onate near San Juan Pueblo in 1598, 22 years before the landing at Plymouth Rock.

When the national media today talks and writes a lot about the recent "arrival" of Hispanics on our national scene, they're recognizing a talented, spirited people New Mexico has known for a long time.

I have mentioned the opening of the Albuquerque Hispano Chamber of Commerce's Barelas Job Opportunity Center, marking the start of its important work to rebuild the economic viability of a deteriorated neighborhood and increase job opportunity.

I would like to mention other examples of commitment to community around our state, such as the Roswell Hispano Chamber of Commerce of Roswell, New Mexico. This group has been a unifying force in their community's economic development issues, and have long supported the Character Counts program to see that the six pillars of character, Respect, Responsibility, Trustworthiness, Citizenship, Fairness, and Caring, are taught early in the classroom.

On September 24, Mr. I. Martin Mercado, President of Mercado Construction in Albuquerque, received the national Small Business Administration's Minority Small Business Person of the Year Award. The son of Mexican